



## Kirkland Design Refinements Environmental Scoping Meeting

Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects

COMMENTER 36

### Comment Form

Please Print: Name (optional) \_\_\_\_\_  
Organization \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
City, State, Zip \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Today's open house meeting is an opportunity to provide input into what gets studied in the environmental documents for the Kirkland Nickel Project. The I-405 EIS completed last summer produced the most comprehensive analysis of a transportation system in the state's history. However, before construction work can begin, project level analysis is required to confirm all potential environmental impacts were fully assessed within the project limits—I-405 from SR522 to SR520.

Please provide any comments you may have in the areas provided below and leave this form with a staff person or at the welcome station where you signed in. You may also mail the form as long as we receive it by March 1, 2004.

1. What aspects of the environment do you think should be studied and why?

36-1 Retrofit of culvert - Forbes Stream  
North of 85th. Fish passage restoration  
would be a real cost/benefit

2. Please describe any concerns you may have about potential environmental impacts.

36-2 Run off from freeway - impact on adjoining  
properties

36-3 Noise

3. What environmental mitigations do you think should be considered for these potential impacts?

36-4 - well thought out surface water management  
system

36-5 Installation of missing links of sound walls  
that absorb not just more sound ↑ !!

4. Do you have any other comments about the proposed project?

36-6 Please minimize any ROW expansion !!





## Kirkland Design Refinements Environmental Scoping Meeting

Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects

COMMENTER 37

### Comment Form

Please Print: Name (optional) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address \_\_\_\_\_  
 City, State, Zip \_\_\_\_\_  
 Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Today's open house meeting is an opportunity to provide input into what gets studied in the environmental documents for the Kirkland Nickel Project. The I-405 EIS completed last summer produced the most comprehensive analysis of a transportation system in the state's history. However, before construction work can begin, project level analysis is required to confirm all potential environmental impacts were fully assessed within the project limits—I-405 from SR522 to SR520.

Please provide any comments you may have in the areas provided below and leave this form with a staff person or at the welcome station where you signed in. You may also mail the form as long as we receive it by March 1, 2004.

1. What aspects of the environment do you think should be studied and why?

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. Please describe any concerns you may have about potential environmental impacts.

37-1 on 116th & 124th Urban 76 station permanently  
 has an Mexican Food Truck disposing of its <sup>dirty</sup> waste water  
 daily on the pavement to the street - there is no sewer for this  
 refuse. Isn't this a health hazard to the entire community?  
 Doesn't this impact the community environmentally? What about it?

3. What environmental mitigations do you think should be considered for these potential impacts?

Compliance with our health <sup>state</sup> laws? County  
 and community - seem NOT to be  
 enforced at all. We do not need to  
 epidemic of San Jose or anything else...

4. Do you have any other comments about the proposed project?

Now there is NO one to enforce compliance of good  
 existing environmental/health laws.  
 HELP thank you.





## Kirkland Design Refinements Environmental Scoping Meeting

Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects

COMMENTS 38

### Comment Form

Please Print: Name (optional) \_\_\_\_\_

Organization \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Today's open house meeting is an opportunity to provide input into what gets studied in the environmental documents for the Kirkland Nickel Project. The I-405 EIS completed last summer produced the most comprehensive analysis of a transportation system in the state's history. However, before construction work can begin, project level analysis is required to confirm all potential environmental impacts were fully assessed within the project limits—I-405 from SR522 to SR520.

Please provide any comments you may have in the areas provided below and leave this form with a staff person or at the welcome station where you signed in. You may also mail the form as long as we receive it by March 1, 2004.

1. What aspects of the environment do you think should be studied and why?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Please describe any concerns you may have about potential environmental impacts.

- 38-1 | Wetlands, noise, nonmotorized transportation,  
38-2 | maint. of traffic flow during construction.  
38-3 | Impacts on transit, carpools, nonmotorized transportation  
More ugly pavement swath (visual aesthetics)

3. What environmental mitigations do you think should be considered for these potential impacts?

- 38-4 | Runoff treatment; sound walls, glare screening  
better construction signing\*, better illumination.  
38-5 | Add extra transit service to help offset congestion  
during construction. Enhanced landscaping/visual aesthetics  
improvement measures. \*Including variable messaging signing

4. Do you have any other comments about the proposed project?

- 38-6 | I like the "Early Environmental Investments" concept.  
Try to minimize "throw-away" impacts of considerable cost  
in the successive stages of implementation of the master  
38-7 | plan for 405.  
Look for nonmotorized facility improvements

Washington State  
Department of Transportation

## COMMENTER 39

From: Ernie Grillo  
Sent: Wed 1/28/2004 7:52 PM  
To: Colleen Gants  
Cc:  
Subject: Kirkland Nickel Improvements

I was not able to attend the scoping meeting held January 27 in Kirkland. The info I received stated you would accept written comments until March 01. The info did not direct where to send written comments. If you are not the correct contact, please forward this or let me know where to send comments.

39-1

My concern with the I 405 project is with access and crossings. Communities must have sidewalks and bike lanes on all bridges and crossings over the freeway. Bike lanes and pedestrian access and safety must also be accommodated on roads and streets under I 405 and on roads leading up to the freeway. I 405 has severed many of our communities and neighborhoods. We need improved non-motorized facilities to provide safety, alternative transportation choices and restore our connectivity.

Regards,

**Colleen Gants**

**COMMENTER 40**

**From:** Michael Rosenfeld [rose11708@yahoo.com]  
**To:** beshaln@wsdot.wa.gov; martinc@wsdot.wa.gov; Colleen Gants  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Scoping Comment on I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Sun 2/15/2004 1:27 PM

Hello -

I am a resident who lives along the I-405 corridor near the Kirkland-Bellevue border along 116th. My address is 11708 NE 48th Place, Kirkland, 98033.

My comment is as follows. On the Northbound (East) side of I-405, noise reduction walls have been installed just north of SR 520 for a short distance (to about 30th street, I believe). Then, north of that point, up to about 70th street, there is no noise reduction wall

40-1

As part of this project, since the project scope includes work from SR 520 north, a new noise reduction wall should be installed where none exists at this time along the Northbound lanes in this section of the highway .

Please let me know by return e-mail if construction of a noise reduction wall is part of the project.

Thank you.

Michael Rosenfeld


---

Do you Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! Finance: [Get your refund fast by filing online](#)

<http://mail.prrbiz.com/exchange/cgants/Inbox/Public%20Comment%20Emails/Scoping%20...> 3/25/2004

**Colleen Gants**

**COMMENTER 41**

 This message was sent with High importance  
**From:** Beshaler, Nancy [BeshalN@wsdot.wa.gov]  
**To:** Colleen Gants  
**Cc:** Rubstello, Patty; Widen, Deirdre; Wendy Taylor (E-mail)  
**Subject:** FW: Kirkland Crawl  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Fri 2/6/2004 2:40 PM

-----Original Message-----

**From:** ken@kwa.net [mailto:ken@kwa.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 29, 2004 5:51 AM  
**To:** i405@wsdot.wa.gov  
**Subject:** Kirkland Crawl

Colleen

1 lane does not seem like much of a solution.

41-1 | I was unable to be at the meeting at Kirkland City Hall on the 27th.  
| When will there be another meeting?  
| Where can I see the plans outside of a meeting?

SUGGESTIONS

41-2 | -----  
| I'd like to see the "shoulder" between NE 85th & 116th BOTH NB & SB be  
| designated as "exit only".  
| This would IMMEDIATELY relieve some of the congestion, maybe more than  
| "some".

Thanks for your time.

Take Care  
Ken Whelan

Ken@kwa.net  
425 821 1649  
866 251 4944

<http://mail.prrbiz.com/exchange/cgants/Inbox/Public%20Comment%20Emails/FW:%20Ki...> 3/25/2004

COMMENTER 42

To:  
KEITH M<sup>C</sup> GOWAN

Keith

Here is the Geology Report that  
I told you about. NOTE FIGURE 4:5?

It would appear that I-405 already  
has practically blocked the aquifer  
already.

Take a look at Figure 1, too.

There are alot more wells drawing  
on the aquifer than just ours.  
Call if you have any questions/comments.

LEN NEWSTRUM

(425) 965-1330

E-MAIL: LEONARD.F.NEWSTRUM@BOYING.COM.

42-1

## **Information Collected by Project Staff at the Public Scoping Meeting**

**Roland White**

**I-405 Citizen Committee Member and Member of the Kirkland Transportation Commission:**

### **Comment SM-1**

Mr. White was curious how the mitigation for the I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project would be accomplished by the design-build contractor. He is concerned that the design-builder will cut costs where they can and fears that mitigating environmental impacts may be an area where the design-builder will try to save money.

### **Comment SM-2**

In addition, Mr. White was interested in how the I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project will address stormwater treatment and detention. He recommends that we design our stormwater facilities to accommodate the stormwater associated with the I-405 “full-build” or “master plan” in the Kirkland area rather than the smaller footprint associated with the Kirkland Nickel Project.

### **Comment SM-3**

Mr. White also inquired about the watershed-based approach to mitigation and would like to see more information about that in the environmental document.

**Dante Morelli**

**Cedar Terrace Apartments (owner)  
3205 115th NE  
Bellevue, WA  
206.999.9669**

### **Comment SM-4**

Mr. Morelli is concerned that additional lanes might impact access to the apartments he owns near the City of Bellevue's maintenance facility. Currently 115th is adjacent to a noise wall and if the wall needs to be moved, he wants to know what will happen to 115th and access to his apartments.

**Jason McBride (Joan or Jason)**

### **Comment SM-5**

We were asked: “Can absorbent material be used on noise walls?”

**Fred and Jackie Lillian**

**11634 110th NE  
Kirkland 98034**

### **Comment SM-6**

The Lillians were concerned about noise impacts on their home.



**Mayor Burleigh  
Mayor of Kirkland**

**Comment SM-7**

Mayor Burleigh noted that the City of Kirkland had an interest in restoring Forbes Creek. She asked if the project can retrofit the culvert at Forbes Creek for better fish passage.

**Greta Creswall and Leo Gabay**

(Own property in the NW quadrant of the 70th Street interchange)

**Comment SM-8**

Greta and Leo own/manage Western World Realty and they own a piece of property near the NW quad of the I-405/70th Interchange. They claim that they are having major drainage problems on this piece of property from the I-405 interchange. They say that their property is not developable because of this dilemma and that there are wetlands and a stream created as a result of poor drainage. They mentioned that this issue is making them want to sell this piece of property. They can be contacted at (425) 823-8700.

**Charlie Wittenberg**

**Comments SM-9 and 10**

Wants a direct connector to the HOV lanes at NE 90th. Concerned about noise at NE 145th and wall taking backyard as shown at the end of Sheet 3.

**Danny and Leslie Bean**

**11637 NE 92nd Street**

**Kirkland, WA 98033**

**425/827-2326**

**Leslie.bean@phs.com**

**Danny2326@juno.com**

**Comment SM-11**

The Beans own 2 parcels in this area. I think one is on Slater, the other at the above address. They have owned there since 1955 and have been through at least one property take, and the noise wall construction. They have two main concerns: 1) That they are contacted during the noise study period for their input, 2) Their current noise levels are too high. They said that they have to yell in their backyard to hear each other.

**Rose Hill Neighborhood Group**

**Comment SM-12**

This group had environmental concerns regarding lake and water quality issues.

**Other general comments/observations from people who did not provide their name:**

**Comment SM-13**

- One woman recommended we post our responses to comments on the I-405 project web site.

**Comment SM-14**

- One woman was concerned about noise impacts in her neighborhood.

**Comment SM-15**

- One gentleman recommended that we contact Kari Page from the Kirkland Neighborhood Association web site to identify additional neighborhood groups we can contact for outreach opportunities.

**Comment SM-16**

- One woman was very concerned about what would become of the stormwater ponds at the NE 85th cloverleaf. She was interested in how the I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project would treat and detain stormwater. She was particularly concerned about the project's potential to degrade the water quality in Forbes Lake, which is nearby the cloverleaf.

**Comments SM-17 and 18**

- One gentleman stated that he has lived near Watershed Park since 1958 and has steadily seen (and heard) the noise increase over the years. He said that occasionally he can smell the car fumes when the wind is 'right'. He is concerned that with the addition of two lanes, the noise will get even worse.

**Comments SM-19 and 20**

- Three neighbors that live south of 70th are very concerned that we will cut down trees that currently exist within the WSDOT right-of-way that act as a noise buffer. Their houses back up to the existing right-of-way and if the I-405 project utilizes all of the right-of-way, they will have no backyard.

**Comment SM-21**

- There needs to be a noise barrier from 116th to the houses to west off NE 112th on top of the hill.

**Comment SM-22**

- Concerned about 116th traffic and 116th/120th intersection is a problem.

**Comment SM-23**

- 7506 off NE 75th (near Lake Washington High School) there is a noise problem because the existing noise wall is not high enough.

**Comment SM-24**

- One gentleman was very unhappy that we have so much process to deal with and don't just go build the lanes. He did not agree with the need to study anything, including the environment. He just wanted us to get the contractor out there ASAP and build it.

**Comment SM-25**

- One individual was very concerned about why we aren't building a monorail and were building more roads.

**Comment SM-26**

- Another individual had stormwater as his main concern and asked how we would be dealing with currently untreated stormwater as well as future stormwater from the project.